

Examining the Impact of Knowledge Management on Organizational Identity with Focus on the Mediator Role of Psychological Empowerment and Social Capital (Case Study: Mashhad's Municipality Staff)

Maryam Abdollahi

MA in public Management, Islamic Azad University, Torbat-e Heydariyeh Branch, Torbat-e Heydariyeh, Iran

Ali Hosseinzadeh¹

Assistant Professor in public Management, Islamic Azad University, Torbat-e Heydariyeh Branch, Torbat-e Heydariyeh, Iran

Received: 20 June 2017

Accepted: 23 September 2017

Extended Abstract

1. Introduction

As a phenomenon, identity has been the interest of philosophers and thinkers in areas of psychology and sociology for many years. By definition, *organizational identity* is a description of how members of organizations define themselves as a social group through which they distinguish themselves from the members of other organizations. Given the vast changes in the atmosphere revolving around organizations in recent years, the issue of identity has been increasingly focused on in the areas related to organizations. In this regard, researchers have examined the causes and implications of the subject in which the impact of knowledge management on organizational identity through the mediator role of psychological empowerment and social capital has been overlooked.

2. Theoretical Framework

There is little consensus on the meaning and definition of organizational identity; in fact, this construct represents particular means through which individuals define themselves based on their membership in a particular organization. Organizational identity is a conceptual link between the awareness of organizations and self-awareness. Organizational identity is a notion for defining individuals' relationship with the organization they work in. *Knowledge management* is one of the main components of management systems which specify how and where knowledge can be acquired and transferred. Knowledge management offers the means for the transformation and evolution of information to knowledge as well as how to transfer them. In other words, knowledge management provides a general scheme

¹ Corresponding author, hosseinzadeh56@gmail.com

for the technical infrastructure of knowledge management. As a subcategory of organization management and one of the main constituents of knowledge management systems, knowledge management indicates how and where knowledge can be acquired and transferred; it entails three major parts, including skill, information, and structure, with innovation also being a part of it. Considered as a competitive privilege, one of the major capitals of any organization is to have efficient, capable, and cooperative human resources. Studies on leadership and management skills demonstrate the act of human resource empowerment as the main axis of the effectiveness of organizations and management. In this case, managers do not have sufficient time to control their staff, and they should spend the majority of their time and energy on identifying the inner and outer environments of their organization and assigning other routine duties to their employees. What highlights the need for empowerment more than ever is that firstly empowered staff would regard themselves as more effective in their workplace and share such empowerment with their colleagues; secondly, they would deem themselves as innovators, showing less fear toward trying new things. Social capital reflects social platforms and relationships which have significant implications. In some studies, a strong correlation has been found between physical and spiritual health and positions in networks. Today, the subject of social capital has become the main focus of scholars in scientific areas, particularly organizational researchers. One of the main causes of such focus is related to the importance and benefits of social capital in today's world, especially in the area of organizations. Similar to other forms of capitals, social capital provides generating points and the probability of success regarding particular purposes, which would not have been possible otherwise.

3. Methodology

The population of this study includes the entire Mashhad's municipality staff (i.e., 2851 individuals) out of which 332 people were selected through random sampling. As for data collection, *the knowledge management questionnaire by Curran and Kruger (2004)*, *psychological empowerment questionnaire by Spreitzer (1992)*, *organizational identity questionnaire by Cheney (1983)*, and *social capital questionnaire by Nahapiet and Goshal (1998)* were used. To evaluate the validity of questionnaires, content validity and factor analysis were used; the reliability was also assessed using Cronbach's alpha, and given its higher values than 0.7 in all questionnaires, the reliability was confirmed.

4. Results

The hypotheses of the study were tested using structural equations model. The path coefficients among the variable of knowledge management on organizational

identity, empowerment, and social capital were 0.424, 0.472, and 0.647, respectively. Furthermore, the path coefficients of psychological empowerment and social capital on organizational identity were 0.416 and 0.465, respectively. Significant coefficients in these paths were respectively obtained as 2.156, 6.126, 8.711, 2.806, and 2.333, which shows that the first five hypotheses of the study have been confirmed. Moreover, given the value of t score obtained from Sobel test as 1.97 and 2.79 which were higher than 1.96, it can be concluded that the impact of mediator variables of psychological empowerment and social capital on the relationship between knowledge management and organizational identity is significant at 95% confidence level. As a result, the observed indirect relationship is statistically significant; therefore, the sixth and seventh hypotheses of the study were confirmed.

5. Conclusion

Organizational identity has many various causes; however, this study attempts to examine the impact of knowledge management on the organizational identity variable through the mediator role of psychological empowerment and social capital. The results of the study show that knowledge management directly affects the organizational identity of Mashhad's municipality staff through the mediation of psychological empowerment and social capital. In general, since human resources are the most important and valuable capital of any organization and that concentrating on such invaluable capital may impact the failure or success of any organization, it is vital that managers pay attention to developing the identity of their staff. The results indicate that concentrating on subjects such as the participation of staff in decision making, the empowerment of human resources, the delegation of authority, mutualistic relationships, participatory administration, the assignment of the staff to organizational knowledge, and the flexible structures that are indices of knowledge creation, social capital, and knowledge management in organizations may affect the staff to be more committed to their organization and its purposes and values. This, in turn, results in a stronger organizational identity. Moreover, as a result of enhancing organizational identity among the municipality's staff, they will show a higher job performance and citizen behavior of the staff as well as fewer resignations, inefficiencies, delays, and unjustified absences.

Keywords: Organizational identity, management, psychological empowerment

References (In Persian)

1. Amirkabiri, A., & Fathi, S. (2007). Barrasi ertebat ab'ad tavanmandi ravanshenakhti ba karafarini daron sazemani (mored motale'e Fanavaran

- Tehran) [Studying the effects of psychological empowerment of employee on organizational entrepreneurship in Tehran Institute of Technology]. *Industrial Management Studies*, 6(16), 99-124.
2. Davari, A., & Rezazadeh, A. (2016). *Modelsazi mo'adlat sakhtari ba narmafzar PLS* [Structural equation modeling with PLS]. Tehran, Iran: Jahad Daneshgahi Press.
 3. Ghasemzadeh, A., Maleki, Sh., & Habibi, H. (2014). Naghsh hoviyyat timi va hoviyyat sazemani bar amalkard shoghli va masooliyat ejtemie [The role of team identification and organizational identification on job performance and social responsibility]. *Journal of Management System*, 2(4), 90-105.
 4. Khanalizadeh R., Kordnaiej, A., Fani, A., & Moshabaki, A. (2010). Rabete beyn tavanmandsazi va yadgiri sazmani (Moredemotale'e: Daneshgah Tarbiyat Modarres) [The study of the relationship between organizational learning and empowerment]. *Transformational Management Journal*, 2(3), 20-45.
 5. Mooghali, A., Hassanpoor, A., & Hassanpoor, M. (2009). Barrasi ertebat bey tavanmandsazi va ta'hhod sazemani karkonan dar manategh noozdahganeh sazman amoozesh va parvareh [The relationship between employee empowerment and organizational commitment in the 19 districts of Tehran Education Organization]. *Journal of Public Administration*, 1(2), 119-132.
 6. Moshabbaki, A. (2001). *Modiriyyat raftar sazemani* [Management of organizational behavior]. Tehran, Iran: Termeh.
 7. Sajedi, F., & Omidvari, A. (2007). Tavanmandsazi karkonan va sazemanhaye emrooz [Empowerment of modern organizations and employees]. *Tadbir*, 18(181), 65-67.
 8. Soleymani, E., Ahanchian, M., & Asgharpour, A. (2013). Naghshe hoviyyat dar pishbini rafter shahrivandi sazemani da daneshgah Oloom Razavi va daneshgah Khayyam Mashhad [The role of identity in forecasting the organizational citizenship behavior in Razavi Islamic Sciences University and Khayyam University of Mashhad]. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 10(2), 127-147.

References (In English)

1. Acquah, M. (2007). Managerial social capital, strategic orientation, and organizational performance in an emerging economy. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(12), 1235-1255.
2. Adler, P. S., & Kwon, S. W. (2002). Social capital: Prospects for a new concept. *Academy of Management Review*, 27(1), 17-40.
3. Amirkhani, A., Tajmirriahi, J., & Mohammad, M. (2012). Assessing the effectiveness of knowledge management in empowering and development of human resources: A case study on Ati Luleh Sepahan Company. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, 3(12), 131-147.

4. Bartels, J., Douwes, R., De Jong, M., & Pruyn, A. (2006). Organizational identification during a merger: Determinants of employees' expected identification with the new organization. *British Journal of Management*, 17(1), 49-67.
5. Bebbington, A., Woolcock, M., Guggenheim, S. E., & Olson, E. (Eds.). (2006). *The search for empowerment: Social capital as idea and practice at the world bank*. Virginia, VA: Kumarian Press.
6. Belliveau, M. A., O'Reilly III, C. A., & Wade, J. B. (1996). Social capital at the top: Effects of social similarity and status on CEO compensation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39(6), 1568-1593.
7. Blair, J. P., & Carroll, M. C. (2008). Social capital. *Economic Development Journal*, 7(3), 42-49.
8. Boschma, R. (2005). Role of proximity in interaction and performance: Conceptual and empirical challenges. *Regional Studies*, 39(1), 41-45.
9. Bowen, D. E., & Lawler E. E. (1992). The empowerment of service workers: What, why, how, and when. *Sloan Management Review*, 33(3), 31-40.
10. Burt, R. S. (1992). *Structural holes: The social structure of competition*. Massachusetts, MA: Harvard University Press.
11. Chamlee-Wright, E. (2008). The structure of social capital: An Austrian perspective on its nature and development. *Review of Political Economy*, 20(1), 41-58.
12. Chatterjee, J. (2002, July). *Knowledge Based Strategies & Research Directions in India*. Paper presented at the Kware Conference. Kanpur, India.
13. Chay, Y. W., Menkhoff, T., Loh, B., & Evers, H. D. (2010). What makes knowledge sharing in organizations tick? An empirical study. In T. Menkhoff, H. Evers, & Y. W. Chay (Eds.), *Governing and managing knowledge in Asia* (pp. 301-326). Singapore, Malaysia: World Scientific.
14. Chevron, J. S. (2001, May). *Developing an integrated enterprise-wide knowledge architecture*. Paper presented at the APQC conference of Next Generation KM, APQC, Houston, TX: pp. 1-20.
15. Cole, M. S., & Bruch, H. (2006). Organizational identity strength, identification, and commitment and their relationships to turnover intention: Does organizational hierarchy matter?. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 27(5), 585-605.
16. Conger, J. A., & Kanungo, R. N. (1988). The empowerment process: Integrating theory and practice. *Academy of Management Review*, 13(3), 471-482.
17. Cunningham, I. (2002). Developing human and social capital in organisations. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 34(3), 89-94.
18. Curry, B. K. (2003). Organizational flux and its destabilizing influence on employee identity. *Management Decision*, 41(6), 558-569.

19. Dukerich, J. M., Golden, B. R., & Shortell, S. M. (2002). Beauty is in the eye of the beholder: The impact of organizational identification, identity, and image on the cooperative behaviors of physicians. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 47(3), 507-533.
20. Empson, L. (2004). Organizational identity change: Managerial regulation and member identification in an accounting firm acquisition. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 29(8), 759-781.
21. Erstad, M. (1997). Empowerment and organizational change. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 9(7), 325-333.
22. Evers, H. D. (2008, March). *Knowledge hubs and knowledge clusters: Designing a knowledge architecture for development*. Paper presented at the conference of Knowledge Architecture for Development: Challenges ahead for Asian Business and Governance, Singapore, SMU.
23. Foy, N. (1997). *Empowering people at work*. England, Aldershot: Gower.
24. Franke, S. (2005). *Measurement of social capital: Reference document for public policy research, development and evaluation*. Retrieved from <http://publications.gc.ca/collections/Collection/PH4-27-2005E.pdf>
25. Gabbay, S. M., & Zuckerman, E. W. (1998). Social capital and opportunity in corporate R&D: The contingent effect of contact density on mobility expectations. *Social Science Research*, 27(2), 189-217.
26. Gorden, R. J. (1993). *Organizational behavior*. Massachusetts, MA: Ally & Bacon.
27. Granovetter, M. S. (1973). The strength of weak ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, 78(6), 347-367.
28. Greve, A., Benassi, M., & Sti, A. D. (2010). Exploring the contributions of human and social capital to productivity. *International Review of Sociology*, 20(1), 35-58.
29. Grootaert, C., Narayan, D., Jones, V. N., & Woolcock, M. (2004). *Measuring social capital: An integrated questionnaire*. Washington, DC: The World Bank .
30. Hatch, M. J., & Schultz, M. (2002). The dynamics of organizational identity. *Human Relations*, 55(8), 989-1018.
31. Hedlund, G. (1994). A model of knowledge management and the N-form corporation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 15(2), 73-90.
32. Holm, J., Olla, P., Moura, D., & Warhaut, M. (2006). Creating architectural approaches to knowledge management: an example from the space industry. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 10(2), 36-51.
33. Hooghe, M., & Stolle, D. (Eds.). (2003). *Generating social capital: Civil society and institutions in comparative perspective*. New York, NY: Springer.
34. Kritsotakis, G., Koutis, A. D., Alegakis, A. K., & Philalithis, A. E. (2008). Development of the social capital questionnaire in Greece. *Research in Nursing and Health*, 31(3), 217-225.

35. Lawler, E. E. (1994). Total quality management and employee involvement: Are they compatible?. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 8(1), 68-76.
36. Lin, N., & Dumin, M. (1986). Access to occupations through social ties. *Social Networks*, 8(4), 365-385.
37. Lin, N., Ensel, W. M., & Vaughn, J. C. (1981). Social resources and strength of ties: Structural factors in occupational status attainment. *American Sociological Review*, 46(4), 393-405.
38. López, E., & Olivia, C. (2003). *Social capital and government in the production of public goods*. Retrieved from <http://pareto.uab.es/wp/2003/58003.pdf>
39. Mael, F. A., & Ashforth, B. E. (1995). Loyal from day one: Biodata, organizational identification, and turnover among newcomers. *Personnel Psychology*, 48(2), 309-333.
40. Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Democracies in flux: The evolution of social capital in contemporary society*. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
41. Puusa, A. (2006). Conducting research on organizational identity. *EJBO-Electronic Journal of Business Ethics and Organization Studies*, 11(2), 24-28.
42. Quinn, R. E., & Spreitzer, G. M. (1997). The road to empowerment: Seven questions every leader should consider. *Organizational Dynamics*, 26(2), 37-49.
43. Roy, Y. J. C., & Sheena, S. (2005). Empowerment through choice? A critical analysis of the effects of choice in organizations. *Research in Organizational Behaviors*, 27(2), 41-79.
44. Skrabski, Á., Kopp, M., & Kawachi, I. (2004). Social capital and collective efficacy in Hungary: Cross sectional associations with middle aged female and male mortality rates. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 58(4), 340-345.
45. Snyman, R., & Kruger, C. J. (2004). The interdependency between strategic management and strategic knowledge management. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 8(1), 5-19.
46. Spreitzer, G. M. (1995). Psychological empowerment in the workplace: Dimensions, measurement, and validation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(5), 1442-1465.
47. Tam, S. (1999). Routes to intellectual capital formation: The genesis and development of wealth creating knowledge in the entrepreneurial minds. In S. Kwiatkowski, & L. Edvinsson (Eds.), *Knowledge café for intellectual entrepreneurship* (pp. 125-127). Warszawa: Poland: Leon Kozminski Academy of Entrepreneurship and Management.
48. Tang, A., Han, J., & Chen, P. (2004). A comparative analysis of architecture frameworks. In B. Werner (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 11th Asia-Pacific Software Engineering Conference* (pp. 640-647). Washington, DC: IEEE Computer Society.

49. Tekel, S. (2007, October). *Social capital and commercial organizations*. Paper presented at the International Congress on Social Capital and Networks of Trust, University of Jyväskylä, Finland.
50. Thomas, K. W., & Velthouse, B. A. (1990). Cognitive elements of empowerment: An “interpretive” model of intrinsic task motivation. *Academy of Management Review*, 15(4), 666-681.
51. Wah, C. Y., Menkhoff, T., Loh, B., & Evers, H. D. (2007). Social capital and knowledge sharing in knowledge-based organizations: An empirical study. *International Journal of Knowledge Management (IJKM)*, 3(1), 29-48.
52. Wallis, J., Killerby, P., & Dollery, B. (2004). Social economics and social capital. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 31(3), 239-258.
53. Weitzman, E. R., & Chen, Y. Y. (2005). Risk modifying effect of social capital on measures of heavy alcohol consumption, alcohol abuse, harms, and secondhand effects: national survey findings. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 59(4), 303-309.
54. Wetten, D. A., & Cameron, K. S. (1998). *Developing management skills*. Boston, MA: Addison-Wesley.
55. Whetten, D. A., & Cameron, K. S. (2011). *Developing management skills*. New York, NY: Prentice Hall.
56. Wickramasinghe, N. (2003). Do we practise what we preach? Are knowledge management systems in practice truly reflective of knowledge management systems in theory?. *Business Process Management Journal*, 9(3), 295-316.